
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

• 445 Broadway; Albany, NY. 12207-2936 •

Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury; ¹

Sureties of the Peace²

P.O. Box 59, Valhalla, NY 10595; Fax: (888) 891-8977.

AL, AK, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, HI, ID, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MO, MT, NE, NV, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VT, VA, WA, WV, WI, WY;

Grand Jury, Sovereigns of the Court
We the People

- Against -

President [elect] Donald Trump, et al
Respondents

Jurisdiction: Court of Record, under
the rules of Common Law³
Action at law:⁴ (see form 7 attached)

Case NO: 1:16-CV-1490

Magistrate: Lawrence E. Kahn

**INFORMATION⁵ AND
REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES**

We the People⁶ of the United States of America, under the power and authority of the Sureties of the Peace, the Grand Jury, hereinafter We the People, whereas the Unified

¹ **The UUSCLGJ** is comprised of fifty Grand Jurys each unified amongst the counties within their respective States. All fifty States have unified nationally as an assembly of Thousands of People in the name of We the People to suppress, through our Courts of Justice, subverts both foreign and domestic acting under color of law within our governments. States were unified by re-constituting all 3,133 United States counties.

² **SURETIES OF THE PEACE:** If anyone has been dispossessed without the legal judgment of his peers, from his lands, castles, franchises, or from his right, we will immediately restore them to him; and if a dispute arise over this, then let it be decided by the five and twenty jurors of whom mention is made below in the clause for securing the peace. Moreover, for all those possessions, from which anyone has, without the lawful judgment of his peers, been disseized or removed by our government, we will immediately grant full justice therein. Magna Carta Paragraph 52.

³ **"A Court of Record** is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial." Jones v. Jones, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; Ex parte Gladhill, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See, also, Ledwith v. Rosalsky, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689.

⁴ **AT LAW:** Bouvier's "This phrase is used to point out that a thing is to be done according to the course of the common law; it is distinguished from a proceeding in equity."

⁵ **INFORMATION:** An accusation exhibited against a person for some criminal offense, without an indictment. 4 Bl.Comm. 308. The word is also frequently used in the law in its sense of communicated knowledge. Masline v. New York, N. FL & H. R. Co., 95 Conn: 702, 112 A. 639, 640.

⁶ **PEOPLE:** People are supreme, not the state. Waring vs. the Mayor of Savannah, 60 Georgiaat 93; The state cannot diminish rights of the people. Hertado v. California, 100 US 516; Preamble to the US and NY Constitutions - We the people ... do ordain and establish this Constitution...; ...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves... CHISHOLM v. GEORGIA (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455, 2 DALL (1793) pp471-472: The people of this State, as the successors of its former

Common Law Grand Juries arose out of ~~We~~ the People in each of the Fifty States forming Unified Common Law Grand Juries in each State which came together to form a Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury. This was done in an effort to subdue subversion against the United States of America from enemies both foreign and domestic within our governments. This court of record, proceeding according to the common law for the REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES which is our unalienable right as we ordained and expressed in writing the “Bill of Rights” ratified on December 15, 1791, “*in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of federal government powers*” ~~We~~ the People established: Amendment I “*Congress shall make no law respecting...the right of the people to...petition the Government for a redress of grievances.*” This is the beginning of that restoration.

~~We~~ the People via this Court of Record⁷ Common Law Action are addressing all Fifty State Governors, the United States Congress, United States Supreme Court and the United States Administration for a redress of grievances and to expose subversion of the Constitution from enemies foreign and domestic. See Information Martial Law; Memorandum of Facts; Memorandum Article III Courts; Memorandum Jurisdiction; Memorandum Jury Tampering & Stacking; Memorandum of Authority; Memorandum in Support of Authority of the Grand Jury and Redress of Grievances to President Elect, United States Congress and United States Supreme Court at www.NationalLibertyAlliance.org/docket.

REPORT OF SUBVERSION

~~We~~ the People between May 2015 and July 4th 2016 filed by U.S. Postal Service in all Ninety-Four Federal District Courts the following Informations and Writs and served to all the servants addressed in the Informations and Writs. To date we have been met with silence. We also visited all federal district courts within the Fifty States to confirm their filing and have found that they were not filed. See copies at www.NationalLibertyAlliance.org/docket.

sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative. *Lansing v. Smith*, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

⁷ **COURT OF RECORD:** “*A judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it Proceeding according to the course of common law*” - *Jones v. Jones*, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; *Ex parte Gladhill*, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See, also, *Ledwith v. Rosalsky*, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689; *Black's Law Dictionary*, 4th Ed., 425, 426

15-05-15 Writ Quo Warranto	15-06-03 Mandamus Terrorism	15-11-15 Information SWAT
15-05-20 Mandamus to Sheriff	15-06-06 Mandamus subversion	15-11-15 Show Cause Clerks & Judges
15-05-23 Mandamus Judges	15-07-10 All Governors Mandamus	16-02-18 Writ Mandamus to Governors
15-05-27 Mandamus martial law	15-07-20 Mandamus US Supreme Court	16-02-22 Information Court
15-05-29 Mandamus 2nd Amendment	15-10-14 Information to Judges	16-07-04 Declaration of July 4th 2016

Elected and appointed servants have a duty to speak. When the Master requires his servants to give an account of their stewardship they are to answer straightway. Said servants have been vested with authority and power, not BAR attorneys. When it comes to giving an accounting by those who have been vested with Power there can be no right to remain silent and there can be no right to answer through counsel. When the Grand Jury calls, there are no attorneys permitted to speak on behalf of the individual called. This is especially true when vested servants are called to give an account. We are the Grand Jury and we are summoning you in this common law court and you “must” respond without representation to our lists of objections and be restored under the Law of the Land, resign or be indicted for subversion. Answers filtered through attorneys are non-answers.

“Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading.” - U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932

If said servants cannot understand the “plain language” of **We the People** in this action, then that is proof positive that they violated their oath. If said servants do not know the law of the land, how can they govern not knowing their jurisdiction? Said servants pursued the positions that they now occupy and are therefore expected to know these things. **We the People** will not accept ignorance as an excuse! We will, however, forgive your trespasses if you confess your trespasses and restore yourself under the Law of the Land.

The resolve of this Information and this Court of Record is to educate and inform our elected and appointed servants, the People and President Elect Donald Trump, hereinafter President Elect, of the intentions of **We the People** to restore this Nation back to its roots and honor the covenant we made with Natures God in 1776. We intend on doing this through revealing in this court of record said subverts within our government and indicting them as we amass the critical-mass necessary to take back our courts and “*Make America Great Again*”!

We perceive you understand that all of the problems America faces today initiated through ignorance of the Common Law a/k/a Law of the Land. That perpetual Light that once

guided us through the darkness and weathered the storms that now have eroded our blessings of liberty which is not possible to comprehend or achieve without that Light. We have lost our way and our Light has become like a candle⁸ in the wind.

"God who gave us life, gave us liberty. And can the liberties of a nation be thought secure when we have removed their only firm basis, a conviction in the minds of the people that these liberties are a gift from God? That they are not to be violated but with His wrath? Indeed I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is just, that His justice cannot sleep forever..." - Thomas Jefferson

Before honour, stand's humility⁹; ~~We~~ the People come in both and expect our President Elect to do likewise. You have taken on a sacred duty to "make America great again" in an office that now for many years has been the enemy of the aforesaid Light and without that guiding Light as a lamp upon our feet we cannot achieve our goal, you must act under the law of the land. You must understand that only the People can save America and only by rallying the People around that Light which is the Law of the Land can America be great again and keep it, this now is your duty Mr. President elect.

Thomas Jefferson said: *"Educate and inform the whole mass of the people... They are the only sure reliance for the preservation of our liberty... An enlightened citizenry is indispensable for the proper functioning of a republic. Self-government is not possible unless the citizens are educated sufficiently to enable them to exercise oversight. It is therefore imperative that the nation see to it that a suitable education be provided for all its citizens."*

That flickering Light can only burn bright again if the People wax great in the knowledge of the Common Law. They need to understand that the power and the ability of the People to have government by consent can only be achieved through the exercising of that law and only then can we secure Liberty again.

"If a People expect to be ignorant and free they expect what never was and never will be." Thomas Jefferson.

Mr. President Elect, you will surround yourself with many advisors and legal counselors and ~~We~~ the People submit to you that the BAR advice subverts the common law! Administrations before you have seized power and taken control of our legislators and our

⁸ Proverbs 20:27 The spirit of man is the candle of the LORD, searching all the inward parts of the belly.

⁹ Proverbs 15:33 The fear of the LORD is the instruction of wisdom; and before honour is humility.

courts. America has been governed by an oligarchy and maintains its power through these minions of the NWO a/k/a BAR attorneys and the FED, the former controlling our courts the latter our financial and political process. See Congressman McFadden Speech on the Federal Reserve Corp., at www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket.

We the People by the mercy of God humbly offer our advice and understanding of the law, for ~~We~~ the ~~People~~ limited law making powers to ourselves alone.

“Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts And the law is the definition and limitation of power...” - Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, 370

The Lord taught us: *“Correction is grievous to those who have forsaken the way and he who hears correction receives understanding. He that refuses instruction despises his own soul: but he that hears reproof receives understanding.”*

Thomas Jefferson said: *“I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves; and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion by education. This is the true corrective of abuses of constitutional power.”*

~~We~~ the ~~People~~ have created a place to educate and inform the People and our servants at www.nationallibertyalliance.org¹⁰ and we advise you, Mr. President Elect, to support the ~~People~~ by simply obeying the Law and using the bully pulpit¹¹ of your office to lead our legislative and judicial branches to do the same and then and only then will ~~We~~ the ~~People~~ *“bind them with the chains of the constitution”* and enjoy the fruits of Liberty again.

George Washington warned: *“Government is like fire, a dangerous servant and a fearful master.”* Thomas Jefferson counseled us: *“...In questions of power then, let no more be heard of confidence in man, but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the constitution.*

¹⁰ **The purpose of NLA** is to facilitate education, communication and organization providing FREE Constitutional Course and a FREE Civics course and much, much more.

¹¹ **Bully pulpit:** a public office or position of authority that provides its occupant with an outstanding opportunity to speak out on any issue.

FEDERAL AGENCIES ARE MILITARIZED AND OUT OF CONTROL ¹²

All federal agencies are under the control of the President and with the exception of appointed officers, see *Marbury v Madison*¹³, all serve at his pleasure. Therefore, it stands to reason that the agencies reflect the morals and will of the Administration.

"Decency, security, and liberty alike demand that government officials be subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a Government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Crime is contagious. If government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for the law...it invites every man to become a law unto himself...and against that pernicious doctrine, this court should resolutely set its face." - Olmstead v U.S., 277 US 348, 485; 48 S. Ct. 564, 575; 72 LEd 944.

Militarized units from government agencies are wreaking havoc on non-violent citizens. Dozens of federal agencies now have Special Weapons and Tactics (SWAT) teams, MRAPs, and APCs to further an expanding definition of their missions; Department of Agriculture, the Railroad Retirement Board, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Office of Personnel Management, the Consumer Product Safety Commission, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? All of these have their own SWAT units.

Law-enforcement agencies across the United States, at every level of government, have been blurring the line between police officer and soldier. The war on drugs and more recently, post 9/11 antiterrorism efforts have created a new figure on the U.S. scene: the militarized warrior cop, ready to deal harshly with targeted wrongdoers, and a growing threat to familiar American liberties.

The proliferation of paramilitary federal SWAT teams inevitably brings abuses that have nothing to do with either drugs or terrorism. Many of the raids they conduct are against harmless, often innocent, Americans who typically are accused of non-violent civil or administrative violations.

¹² **SOURCE:** The United States of SWAT; By John Fund April 18, 2014 4:00 AM @JohnFund; NATIONAL REVIEW <http://www.nationalreview.com/article/376053/united-states-swat-john-fund>

¹³ **Appointed officers** can only be removed by indictment or impeachment for bad behavior.

Take the case of Kenneth Wright of Stockton, Calif., who was “visited” by a SWAT team from the U.S. Department of Education in June 2011. Agents battered down the door of his home at 6 a.m., dragged him outside in his boxer shorts, and handcuffed him as they put his three children (ages 3, 7, and 11) in a police car for two hours while they searched his home. The raid was allegedly intended to uncover information on Wright’s estranged wife, Michelle, who hadn’t been living with him and was “suspected” of college financial-aid fraud.

The year before the raid on Wright, a SWAT team from the Food and Drug Administration raided the farm of Dan Allgyer of Lancaster, Pa. His crime was shipping unpasteurized milk across state lines to a cooperative of young women called Grass Fed on the Hill in Washington, D.C. Raw milk can be sold in Pennsylvania, but it is illegal to transport it across state lines. The raid forced Allgyer to close down his business.

Brian Walsh, a senior legal analyst with the Heritage Foundation, says it is inexplicable why so many federal agencies need to be battle ready: “If these agencies occasionally have a legitimate need for force to execute a warrant, they should be required to call a real law enforcement agency, one that has a better sense of perspective. The FBI, for example, can draw upon its vast experience to determine whether there is an actual need for a dozen SWAT agents.”

Since 9/11, the feds have issued a plethora of homeland-security grants that encourage local police departments to buy surplus military hardware and form their own SWAT units. By 2005, at least 80 percent of towns with a population between 25,000 and 50,000 people had their own SWAT team. The number of raids conducted by local police SWAT teams has gone from 3,000 a year in the 1980s to over 50,000 a year today. Once SWAT teams are created, they will be used. Nationwide, they are used for standoffs, often serious ones, with bad guys. But at other times they’ve been used for crimes that hardly warrant military-style raids.

Examples include angry dogs, domestic disputes, and misdemeanor marijuana possession. In 2010, a Phoenix, Ariz., Sheriff’s SWAT team that included a tank and several armored vehicles raided the home of Jesus Llovera. The tank, driven by the newly deputized action-film star Steven Seagal, plowed right into Llovera’s house. The incident was filmed and, together with footage of Seagal accompanying immigration raids, was later used for Seagal’s A&E TV law-enforcement reality show. The crime committed by Jesus Llovera

was staging cockfights. During the sheriff's raid, his dog was killed, and later all of his chickens were euthanized.

Many veteran law-enforcement figures have severe qualms about the turn police work is taking. One retired veteran of a large metropolitan police force told me: "I was recently down at police headquarters for a meeting. Coincidentally, there was a promotion ceremony going on and the SWAT guys looked just like members of the Army, except for the police shoulder patches. Not an image I would cultivate. It leads to a bad mindset."

Indeed, the U.S. Constitution's Third Amendment, against the quartering of troops in private homes, was part of an overall reaction against the excesses of Britain's colonial law enforcement. "It wasn't the stationing of British troops in the colonies that irked patriots in Boston and Virginia," Balko writes. "It was England's decision to use the troops for everyday law enforcement." There are things that can be done to curb the abuses without taking on the politically impossible job of disbanding SWAT units. The feds should stop shipping military vehicles to local police forces. Federal SWAT teams shouldn't be used to enforce regulations, but should focus instead on potentially violent criminals.

Cameras mounted on the dashboards of police cars have brought both police abuse to light and exonerated officers who were falsely accused of abuse. SWAT team members could be similarly equipped with helmet cameras. After all, if taxpayers are being asked to foot the bill, they have the right to a transparent, accountable record of just what is being done in their name."

As the U.S. engages in a national debate over the militarization of the police, federal data shows that government agencies charged with largely administrative roles are spending tens of millions of taxpayer dollars to purchase SWAT and military-style equipment.¹⁴ Since FY 2006, 44 traditionally administrative agencies have spent over \$71 million on items like body armor, riot helmets and shields, cannon launchers and police firearms and ammunition, according to federal spending data from watchdog group www.OpenTheBooks.com. This comes in addition to the \$330 million spent on such equipment in that period by traditional law enforcement agencies like the FBI, Secret Service and Drug Enforcement Administration.

It raised many eyebrows when we learned last week that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration received 46,000 rounds of ammunition. This revelation-

¹⁴ Editor's Note: Buck Sexton [Real News From The Blaze](http://RealNewsFromTheBlaze.com).

which we are now told was a “clerical error” came on the heels of the Social Security Administration procuring “174,000 rounds of “.357 125 grain bonded jacketed hollow point pistol ammunition.”

All of this leads to the question: Why do so many federal agencies need bullets?

While the government claims it needs the ammunition for training that is exactly the problem. The expansion of the federal law enforcement and regulatory apparatus should be deeply troubling for anyone who believes in limited government and federalism. America has too many national authorities employing their own armed officers to police a vast and growing array of federal statutes. In the process, these Feds trample on the police powers left to the states under the Constitution.

The growth of the federal law enforcement bureaucracy has jumped dramatically over the last 20 years. There are now 73 federal agencies that have full time armed officers often called “special agents” that have arresting authority. All of these agencies now cordon off and enforce a federal fiefdom of the more than 4,500 criminal laws at the federal level and thousands of additional regulations that have sprung up in recent decades. According to a 2008 DOJ Federal Law Enforcement report, there were 120,000 full-time law enforcement officers working for federal agencies and 24 different federal agencies employed at least 250 full-time officers. Federal agencies with at least 250 full-time officers included the U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Mint, U.S. Postal Inspection Service and the Veterans Health Administration. See DOJ 2008 Fed Law Enforcement Report www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket

The list of agencies, many of which are recent creations that have their own police forces, is staggering. Even the Environmental Protection Agency has its own sworn officers, as does The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). While NOAA only has 63 officers today, the EPA started out with one armed officer in 1978 and as of last year it had 265. There are many other organizations that have their own police forces such as the General Services Administration, the Department of Education, Agriculture, Housing and Urban Development and the list goes on.

There is a lot of overlapping and duplicative effort within these federal agencies. With approximately 800,000 local and state police officers in the United States, the burden of enforcing laws that cover wildlife, agriculture, or other local issues should fall on the local police who are most accountable to the people they police.

The result is an array of specialized, positioned enforcement organizations that are tasked to balance the rights of U.S. citizens with a need to justify their budgets. While the U.S. Congress has used the interstate commerce clause to pass sweeping legislation that has

eviscerated state rights, the enforcement mechanisms have started to catch up with the rampant over-legislation.

As of 2011, there are at least 25,000 sworn (and armed) law enforcement officers working for federal agencies not traditionally associated with law enforcement, and 3,800 of them were specifically criminal investigators.

While speaking before the Constitutional Convention in 1787, James Madison said, “*A standing military force, with an overgrown Executive will not long be safe companions to liberty.*” Despite this strong warning from our founders more than 200 years ago, today’s federal government has quietly accrued significant policing powers in a wide array of agencies.

These federal enforcement officers are authorized to carry weapons and make arrests from Section 812 of the 2002 Homeland Security Act. This law authorizes the Offices of the Inspector General within each federal agency, which traditionally look for fraud and waste within the agency, to have officers that carry firearms, seek and execute warrants for arrests and makes arrests without a warrant while engaged in official duties. This section is rarely discussed because the actual language seems somewhat inconsequential. But in practice this law has changed how law enforcement is carried out at the federal level.

While there are federal agencies that should carry weapons and have arresting authority, such as the FBI, Secret Service, DEA and US Marshals, these agencies had this authority before the 2002 Homeland Security Act. They would keep this authority even if the agencies not traditionally involved in law enforcement activities lost their arresting powers. Unfortunately, the expansion of federal enforcement authority has been accompanied by an increase in the abuse of that power. There have been many examples of abuses by different agencies that have not traditionally had law enforcement officers and have been in a rush to use their new authority:

- Officers in full SWAT gear from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service¹⁵ stormed the home of Kathy and George Norris. The agents instigated the raid for George’s failure to file the proper paperwork for orchids he imported. Kathy and George were grandparents in their 60s when the raid took place.
- The EPA led a joint raid¹⁶ along with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, the Bureau of Land Management, the Coast Guard, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric

¹⁵ <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2009/oct/05/criminalizing-everyone/>

¹⁶ <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2013/09/14/armed-epa-agents-in-alaska-shed-light-on-70-fed-agencies-with-armed-divisions.html>

Administration (the guys that predict the weather) and the U.S. Park Service on a mining operation for possible violations of the Clean Water Act.

- The Bureau of Land Management¹⁷ had a long standoff with Cliven Bundy, a rancher, because he graze his cattle on federal land.

Under the 2002 Homeland Security Act, we have seen a massive expansion of police activities carried out by federal agencies. The agents carrying out these activities generally take the form of militarized SWAT teams. This has left Americans wondering why officials from the Department of Education or EPA are barging into their homes and businesses dressed in full SWAT gear.

SOLUTION: Our framers faced these same abuses at the hands of the British military during the lead up to the Revolutionary War. They designed the Constitution to protect us from these abuses. We should support a commonsense law, like the Regulatory Agency Demilitarization Act, because it would do much to protect us from these abuses.

In 2014, Rep. Chris Stewart (R-Utah) sponsored the Regulatory Agency Demilitarization Act,¹⁸ which would have removed arresting authority from agencies not traditionally authorized to carry weapons or make arrests. In a press release announcing the bill, Rep. Stewart said, “When there are genuinely dangerous situations involving federal law, that’s the job of the Department of Justice, not regulatory agencies like the FDA or the Department of Education. Not only is it overkill, but having these heavily armed units within dozens of agencies is duplicative, costly, heavy handed, dangerous and destroys any sense of trust between citizens and the federal government.” Mr. President Elect, having a majority in both houses should use the bully pulpit to lead our legislative branch to support this sentiment.

WAR WITH OUT A CONGRESSIONAL DECLARATION OF WAR

Article I, Section 8, Clause 11 of the U.S. Constitution grants Congress the power to declare war. The President, meanwhile, derives the power to direct the military after a Congressional declaration of war from Article II, Section 2, which names the President Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces. The President or any other federal office has NO Constitutional authority to declare war.

¹⁷ <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2014/04/15/everything-you-need-to-know-about-the-long-fight-between-cliven-bundy-and-the-federal-government/>

¹⁸ <http://stewart.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/rep-stewart-introduces-bill-to-de-militarize-federal-regulatory-agencies>

The last time Congress declared WAR was on December 8, 1941. So, how is it that in 2015, US Special Operations forces have already deployed to 135 nations, according to Ken McGraw, a spokesman for Special Operations Command (SOCOM). That’s roughly 70 percent of the countries on the planet. Every day, in fact, America’s most elite troops are carrying out missions in 80 to 90 nations, practicing night raids or sometimes conducting them for real, engaging in sniper training or sometimes actually gunning down enemies from afar. As part of a global engagement strategy of endless hush-hush operations conducted on every continent but Antarctica, they have now eclipsed the number and range of special ops missions undertaken at the height of the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. How is it that Since WWII the United States has been engaged in twenty-three wars without a declaration of war? They are:

(1) Korean War (1950–1953)	(13) Gulf War (1990–1991)
(2) Lebanon Crisis (1958)	(14) Somali Civil War (1992–1995)
(3) Bay of Pigs Invasion (1961)	(15) Intervention in Haiti (1994–1995)
(4) Simba Rebellion (1964)	(16) Bosnian War (1994–1995)
(5) Dominican Civil War (1965–1966)	(17) Kosovo War (1998–1999)
(6) Vietnam War (1965–1973)	(18) War in Afghanistan (2001–2014)
(7) Communist insurgency in Thailand (1965–1983)	(19) Iraq War (2003–2011)
(8) Shaba II (1978)	(20) War in North-West Pakistan (2004–present)
(9) Multinational Force in Lebanon (1982-1984)	(21) Military intervention in Libya (2011)
(10) Invasion of Grenada (1983)	(22) War on ISIL (2014–present)
(11) Tanker War in the Persian Gulf (1987–1988)	(23) War in Afghanistan (2015–present)
(12) Invasion of Panama (1989–1990)	

It seems that we have become a Nation in perpetual WAR our founders would be appalled! Our founding fathers were against alliances saying that they would involve us in obscure quarrels and sordid rivalries which were none of our concern. They seemed to be both undesirable and unnecessary in view of our special geographic and political circumstances. "It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliance with any portion of the foreign world" - George Washington's Farewell Address *“Peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all nations-entangling alliances with none.”* - Thomas Jefferson's inaugural pledge.

It’s time we return to our founding principles: *“Peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all nations-entangling alliances with none.”* By simply obeying the Constitution, we must stop American war-mongering in the name of We the People.

ABUSE OF THE MILITIA

Militia Act 1903 SEC 1: *...The militia shall consist of every able-bodied male citizen..., and shall be divided into two classes-the organized militia, to be known as the National Guard.*

The President shall be commander in chief of the militia when called into service to execute the laws, suppress insurrections and repel invasions; The Constitution does not give the Pentagon or any other federal office the authority to call forth the militia and there exists no authority to send the militia overseas and for foreign wars.

Article II Section 2 Clause 1: *The President shall be commander in chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, and of the militia of the several states, when called into the actual service of the United States;*

Article I Section 8 Clause 15: *The Congress shall have power to provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions;*

Article I Section 8 Clause 11: *The Congress shall have power to... declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal, and make rules concerning captures on land and water;*

Why were the National Guard sent to Iraq instead of the regular army when in fact we have hundreds of thousands of fully trained military personnel [unconstitutionally] available here in America and around the world already in service? Nevertheless the militia is to “DEFEND” and “PROTECT” the State.

NY Constitution ARTICLE XII [DEFENSE; militia] Section 1. The defense and protection of the state and of the United States is an obligation of all persons within the state. The legislature shall provide for the discharge of this obligation and for the maintenance and regulation of an organized militia.

POWERS STOLEN BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT:

The Preamble to the Bill of Rights Clause 2: states: *“The Conventions of a number of the States, having at the time of their adopting the Constitution, expressed a desire, in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in the Government, will best ensure the beneficent ends of its institution.”*

Bill of Rights Amendment X *“The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, ARE RESERVED TO THE STATES RESPECTIVELY, OR TO THE PEOPLE.”* (emphasis exists in the original document)

The federal government, without authority, under the color of law, through the creation of 100's of federal agencies have seized extensive control of the Sovereign States and the

Sovereign People by seizing powers that control every aspect of our lives, powers that belong to the Sovereign States respectively or the People.

Nobody Knows How Many Federal Agencies Exist¹⁹. As bureaucracy sprawls, nobody can say with complete certainty exactly how many federal agencies exist.

The twice-annual Unified Agenda of Federal Deregulatory and Regulatory Actions, which compiles agency regulatory plans in the federal pipeline, listed 60 agencies in the Spring 2015 edition. The Administrative Conference of the United States lists 115 agencies in the appendix of its “Sourcebook of United States Executive Agencies, but notes: There is no authoritative list of government agencies. For example, FOIA.gov (maintained by the Department of Justice) lists 78 independent executive agencies and 174 components of the executive departments as units that comply with the Freedom of Information Act requirements imposed on every federal agency. This appears to be on the conservative end of the range of possible agency definitions. The United States Government Manual lists 96 independent executive units and 220 components of the executive branch. An even more inclusive listing comes from USA.gov, which lists 137 independent executive agencies and 268 units in the Cabinet.

In a 2015 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing, one senator noted that “The Federal Register indicates there are over 430 departments, agencies, and sub-agencies in the federal government.” Additional estimates from other sources are: Unified Agenda – 60, Administrative Conference of the United States - 115, FOIA.gov - 252, United States Government Manual - 316, Federal Register Index - 257, Regulations.gov - 89. See USA.gov - www.usa.gov/federal-agencies/a

If nobody knows how many agencies exist whose decrees we must abide, that means we don’t know how many people work for the government (let alone contractors making a living from taxpayers), nor know how many regulations there are. But even when we isolate a given, knowable agency, the rise of “regulatory dark matter” may make it hard to tell exactly what is and is not a regulation. The sprawling bureaucracy, plus growing concern that issuing a regulation may not even be necessary for agencies like the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to impose their will on the public calls out for a congressional response.

¹⁹ Clyde Wayne Crews August 26, 2015; <https://cei.org/blog/nobody-knows-how-many-federal-agencies-exist>

RIGHT OF PRIVACY -v- NSA SPYING ²⁰

National Security Agency [NSA] is under the control of the President and serves at his pleasure. According to the *Times*, Bush under the color of law signed a presidential order in 2002 allowing the NSA to monitor without a warrant the international (and sometimes domestic) telephone calls and e-mail messages of hundreds or thousands of citizens and legal residents inside the United States.

This shocking abuse of power eventually came to include some purely internal controls, but no requirement that warrants be obtained from the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court as the 4th Amendment to the Constitution and the foreign intelligence surveillance laws require. In other words, there is no independent review or judicial oversight. The idea that a President claims that he is not bound by that law is simply astounding and nothing short of a presidential power grab.

The President is subject to the law like everyone else, and the general respect for the "rule of law" on which our Republic depends. The law governing government eavesdropping on American citizens is well-established and crystal clear. The National Security Act of 1947 contained a specific ban on intelligence operatives operating domestically. In the 1970s, America learned about the extensive domestic political spying carried out by the FBI, the military, the CIA and the NSA. Therefore, Congress passed new laws to prevent a repeat of those abuses. Title III and the Electronic Communications Privacy Act make up the statutes that govern criminal wiretaps in the United States. The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act is the law that governs eavesdropping on agents of "foreign powers" within the United States, including suspected foreign terrorists. The president is bound by the rule of law. When he acts contrary to the law under the color of law, he becomes a lawbreaker, tyrant and guilty of treason.

United States Code Title 50, Chapter 36, Subchapter 1 Section 1809. Criminal sanctions (a) Prohibited activities: A person is guilty of an offense if he intentionally (1) engages in electronic surveillance under color of law except as authorized by statute.

The US Supreme Court (US v. Katz 389 US 347) has made it clear that this core privacy protection does cover government eavesdropping. As a result, all electronic surveillance

²⁰ Source: <http://www.washingtonsblog.com/2013/09/the-government-is-spying-on-us-through-our-computers-phones-cars-buses-streetlights-at-airports-and-on-the-street-via-mobile-scanners-and-drones-through-our-smart-meters-and-in-many-other-ways.html>

by the government in the United States is illegal, unless it falls under one of a small number of precise exceptions specifically carved out in the law.

The law on surveillance begins with the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution, which states clearly that Americans' privacy may not be invaded without a warrant based on probable cause.

Dec 16, 1791 - The Bill of Rights Amendment IV: "*The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.*"

1973 Supreme Court Rules Warrants Are Required for Domestic Intelligence Surveillance: In a landmark ruling in *US v. US District Court*, the Supreme Court unanimously ruled that the government must comply with the Fourth Amendment when surveilling an alleged domestic intelligence threat. The majority opinion says, "The price of lawful public dissent must not be a dread of subjection to an unchecked surveillance power. Nor must the fear of unauthorized official eavesdropping deter vigorous citizen dissent and discussion of Government action in private conversation. For private dissent, no less than open public discourse, is essential to our free society."

1975 Senate "Church Committee" Investigation Uncovers Illegal Domestic Spying by NSA, Recommends Reforms (Church Committee Findings) A bipartisan Senate investigation stemming from Watergate, led by Senator Frank Church, finds the NSA and other intelligence agencies engaged in a massive domestic spying program, targeting anti-war protesters, civil rights activists, and political opponents. Senator Church remarked: "That capability at any time could be turned around on the American people, and no American would have any privacy left, such is the capability to monitor everything: telephone conversations, telegrams, it doesn't matter, no place to sacred."

Once We the People lose the Essential Unalienable Right of privacy, we lose EVERYTHING! Our founding fathers warned us about governments eroding our Rights and it seems that nothing has changed!

"Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect everyone who approaches that jewel. Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force. Whenever you give up that force, you are inevitably ruined." - Patrick Henry

“The greatest tyrannies are always perpetrated in the name of the noblest causes.” - Thomas Paine

“If tyranny and oppression come to this land, it will be in the guise of fighting a foreign enemy.” - James Madison

“The means of defense against foreign danger historically have become the instruments of tyranny at home.” - James Madison

“Every collectivist revolution rides in on a Trojan horse of ‘Emergency’. It was a tactic of Lenin, Hitler and Mussolini.” - President Herbert Hoover

"Those who would give up essential Liberty, to purchase a little temporary Safety, deserve neither Liberty nor Safety." - Benjamin Franklin

“I prefer dangerous freedom over peaceful slavery.” - Thomas Jefferson

“I would rather be exposed to the inconveniences attending too much liberty than to those attending too small a degree of it.” - Thomas Jefferson

“Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take: but as for me give me liberty or give me death!” - Patrick Henry

“Our government has kept us in a perpetual state of fear kept us in a continuous stampede of patriotic fervor with the cry of grave national emergency. Always there has been some terrible evil at home or some monstrous foreign power that was going to gobble us up if we did not blindly rally behind it by furnishing the exorbitant funds demanded. Yet, in retrospect, these disasters seem never to have happened; seem never to have been quite real.” - General Douglas MacArthur

“Good intentions will always be pleaded for every assumption of authority. It is hardly too strong to say that the Constitution was made to guard the people against the dangers of good intentions. There are men in all ages who mean to govern well, but they mean to govern. They promise to be good masters, but they mean to be masters.” - Daniel Webster

“No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.” - 16 Am. Jur. Sec. 177 late 2d, Sec 256

Spying apologists say that the reports are “exaggerated” or “overblown”, and that the government only spies on potential bad guys. In reality, the government is not just spying on suspected terrorists but is in fact spying on everyone’s digital and old-fashioned communications; see ATT and NSA spying on the People at www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket. For example, the government is photographing the outside information on every piece of snail mail. The government is spying on you through your phone, television and computer... and may even remotely turn on your camera and microphone when they are off. As one example, the NSA has inserted its code into Android’s operating system bugging three-quarters of the world’s smartphones. Google or the NSA can remotely turn on your phone’s camera and recorder at any time.

Moreover, Google knows just about every WiFi password in the world ... and so the NSA does as well, since it spies so widely on Google. But it’s not just the Android. In reality, the NSA can spy on just about everyone’s smart phone. Cell towers track where your phone is at any moment, and the major cell carriers, including Verizon and AT&T, responded to at least 1.3 million law enforcement requests for cell phone locations and other data in 2011. (And given that your smartphone routinely sends your location information back to Apple or Google it would be child’s play for the government to track your location that way.) Your iPhone, or other brand of smartphone is spying on virtually everything you do (ProPublica notes: “That’s No Phone. That’s My Tracker.”) Remember, that might be happening even when your phone is turned off.

The FBI wants a backdoor to all software. However, a leading European computer publication Heise said in 1999 that the NSA had already built a backdoor into all Windows software. Microsoft has long worked hand-in-hand with the NSA and FBI so that encryption doesn’t block the government’s ability to spy on users of Skype, Outlook, Hotmail and other Microsoft services. And Microsoft informs intelligence agencies with information about bugs in its popular software before it publicly releases a fix, so that information can be used by the government to access computers. (Software vulnerabilities are also sold to the highest bidder.) A top expert in the ‘microprocessors’ or ‘chips’ inside every computer – having helped start two semiconductor companies and a supercomputer firm – also says: He would be “surprised” if the US National Security Agency was not embedding “back doors” inside chips produced by Intel and AMD, two of the world’s largest semiconductor firms, giving them the possibility to access and control machines.

The expert said when he learned the NSA had secured “pre-encryption stage” access to Microsoft’s email products via the PRISM leaks, he recognized that “pretty much all our

computers have a way for the NSA to get inside their hardware” before a user can even think about applying encryption or other defensive measures. Leading security experts say that the NSA might have put a backdoor in all encryption standards years ago... meaning that the NSA could easily hack into all encrypted communications. And the NSA hacks into encrypted “VPN” connections. It’s gotten so bad that some of the largest encryption companies are warning that their encryption tools are compromised.

“Black boxes” are currently installed in between 90% and 96% of all new cars. And starting in 2014, all new cars will include black boxes that can track your location. License plate readers mounted on police cars allow police to gather millions of records on drivers ... including photos of them in their cars. If you have a microphone in your car, it might also open you up to snoopers. As CNET points out, surreptitious activation of built-in microphones by the FBI has been done before. A 2003 lawsuit revealed that the FBI was able to surreptitiously turn on the built-in microphones in automotive systems like General Motors’ OnStar to snoop on passengers’ conversations. When FBI agents remotely activated the system and were listening in, passengers in the vehicle could not tell that their conversations were being monitored.

A security expert and former NSA software developer says that hackers can access private surveillance cameras. Given that the NSA apparently already monitors public cameras using facial recognition software, and that the FBI is building a system which will track “public and private surveillance cameras around the country”, we can assume that government agencies might already be hacking into private surveillance cameras.

The CIA wants to spy on you through your dishwasher and other “smart” appliances. The CIA may soon be spying on you through your beloved, intelligent household appliances, according to Wired. In early March, at a meeting for the CIA’s venture capital firm In-Q-Tel, CIA Director David Petraeus reportedly noted that “smart appliances” connected to the Internet could someday be used by the CIA to track individuals. If your grocery-list-generating refrigerator knows when you’re home, the CIA could, too, by using geo-location data from your wired appliances, according to Smart Planet.

“The current ‘Internet of PCs’ will move, of course, toward an ‘Internet of Things’—of devices of all types—50 to 100 billion of which will be connected to the Internet by 2020,” Petraeus said in his speech. He continued, “Items of interest will be located, identified, monitored, and remotely controlled through technologies such as radio-frequency identification, sensor networks, tiny embedded servers, and energy harvesters—all

connected to the next-generation Internet using abundant, low cost, and high-power computing the latter now going to cloud computing, in many areas greater and greater supercomputing, and, ultimately, heading to quantum computing.”

The Department of Homeland Security claims they will soon be able to know your adrenaline level, what you ate for breakfast and what you’re thinking from 164 feet away. In addition, people will probably soon be swallowing tracking devices for medical purposes. The government is allegedly scanning prisoners’ brains without their consent at Guantanamo. In the near future, brain scanners may be able to literally read our thoughts. The government is currently testing systems for use in public spaces which can screen for “pre-crime”. As Nature reports: Like a lie detector, FAST measures a variety of physiological indicators, ranging from heart rate to the steadiness of a person’s gaze, to judge a subject’s state of mind. But there are major differences from the polygraph. FAST relies on non-contact sensors, so it can measure indicators as someone walks through a corridor at an airport, and it does not depend on active questioning of the subject.

CBS News points out: FAST is designed to track and monitor, among other inputs, body movements, voice pitch changes, stress changes (alterations in the rhythm and intonation of speech), eye movements, body heat changes, and breathing patterns. Occupation and age are also considered. A government source told CNET that blink rate and pupil variation are measured too. A field test of FAST has been conducted in at least one undisclosed location in the northeast. “It is not an airport, but it is a large venue that is a suitable substitute for an operational setting,” DHS spokesman John Verrico told Nature.com in May. Since DHS has publicly suggested that FAST could be used at airport checkpoints, the Transportation Security Administration is part of that department, apparently all the government appears to have grander ambitions. One internal DHS document (PDF) obtained by EPIC through the Freedom of Information Act indicates that a mobile version of FAST “could be used at security checkpoints such as border crossings or at large public events such as sporting events or conventions.”

The risk of false positives is very real. As Computer World notes: Tom Ormerod, a psychologist in the Investigative Expertise Unit at Lancaster University, UK, told Nature, “Even having an iris scan or fingerprint read at immigration is enough to raise the heart rate of most legitimate travelers.” Other critics have been concerned about “false positives.” For example, some travelers might have some of the physical responses that are supposedly signs of malicious intent if they were about to be groped by TSA agents in

airport security. Various “pre-crime” sensing devices have already been deployed in public spaces in the U.S.

The government has also worked on artificial intelligence for “pre-crime” detection on the Web. And given that programs which can figure out your emotions are being developed using your webcam, every change in facial expression could be tracked. According to NSA’s former director of global digital data William Binney, the NSA’s new data storage center in Utah will have so much storage capacity that: “They would have plenty of space ... to store at least something on the order of 100 years worth of the worldwide communications, phones and emails and stuff like that,” Binney asserts, “and then have plenty of space left over to do any kind of parallel processing to try to break codes.” But the NSA isn’t stopping there. Despite its capacity, the Utah center does not satisfy NSA’s data demands. Last month, the agency broke ground on its next data farm at its headquarters at Ft. Meade, Md. But that facility will be only two-thirds the size of the mega-complex in Utah. The NSA is building next-generation quantum computers to process all of the data.

NBC News reports that under the post 9/11 Patriot Act, the government has been collecting records on every phone call made in the U.S. This includes metadata ... which can tell the government a lot about you. And it also includes content. But what we’re really talking about here is a localized system that prevents any form of electronic communication from taking place without its being stored and monitored by the National Security Agency. It doesn’t mean that they’re listening to every call, it means they’re storing every call and have the capability to listen to them at any time, and it does mean that they’re collecting millions upon millions upon millions of our phone and email records. In addition, a government expert told the Washington Post that the government “quite literally can watch your ideas form as you type.” A top NSA executive confirmed to Washington’s Blog that the NSA is intercepting and storing virtually all digital communications on the Internet.

McClatchy notes: FBI Director Robert Mueller told a Senate committee on March 30, 2011, that “technological improvements” now enable the bureau “to pull together past emails and future ones as they come in so that it does not require an individualized search.” The administration is building a facility in a valley south of Salt Lake City that will have the capacity to store massive amounts of records a facility that former agency whistleblowers say has no logical purpose if it’s not going to be a vault holding years of phone and Internet data. Thomas Drake, a former NSA senior executive who challenged

the data collection for several years, said the agency's intent seems obvious. "One hundred million phone records?" he asked in an interview. "Why would they want that each and every day? Of course they're storing it." Lending credence to his worries, The Guardian's latest report quoted a document in which Alexander purportedly remarked during a 2008 visit to an NSA intercept station in Britain: "Why can't we collect all the signals all the time?"

One former U.S. security consultant, who spoke on condition of anonymity to protect his connections to government agencies, told McClatchy he has seen agency-installed switches across the country that draw data from the cables. "Do I know they copied it? Yes," said the consultant. "Do I know if they kept it? No." NSA whistleblower Russel Tice – a key source in the 2005 New York Times report that blew the lid off the Bush administration's use of warrantless wiretapping – says that the content and metadata of all digital communications are being tapped by the NSA. The NSA not only accesses data directly from the largest internet companies, it also sucks up huge amounts of data straight from undersea cables providing telephone and Internet service to the United States. After all, the government has secretly interpreted the Patriot Act so that "everything" is deemed relevant ... so the government can spy on everyone.

The NSA isn't the only agency which is conducting massive spying. The Wall Street Journal notes: The rules now allow the little-known National Counterterrorism Center to copy entire government databases, flight records, casino employee lists, the names of Americans hosting foreign-exchange students and many others. The agency has new authority to keep data about innocent U.S. citizens for up to five years, and to analyze it for suspicious patterns of behavior. Previously, both were prohibited. Data about Americans "reasonably believed to constitute terrorism information" may be permanently retained. The changes also allow databases of U.S. civilian information to be given to foreign governments for analysis of their own. In effect, U.S. and foreign governments would be using the information to look for clues that people might commit future crimes.

"IT'S BREATHTAKING IN ITS SCOPE", said a former senior administration official familiar with the White House debate who also notes: "GAZILLIONS". That's the number of times the federal government has spied on Americans since 9/11 through the use of drones, legal search warrants, illegal search warrants, federal agent-written search warrants and just plain government spying. This is according to Senator Rand Paul, R-Ky., who, when he asked the government to tell him what it was doing to violate our privacy, he was given a classified briefing. Rand Paul, who is one of just a few in the U.S. Senate who believes

that the Constitution means what it says, was required by federal law to agree not to reveal what spies and bureaucrats told him during the briefing.

Even if the US government wasn't recording all of that data, England's GCHQ spy agency is and is sharing it with the NSA. Germany, Australia, Canada and New Zealand are also recording and sharing massive amounts of information with the NSA. Private contractors can also view all of your data and the government isn't keeping track of which contractors see your data and which don't. And because background checks regarding some contractors are falsified, it is hard to know the types of people that might have your information. And top NSA and FBI experts say that the government can retroactively search all of the collected information on someone since 9/11 if they suspect someone of wrongdoing ... or want to frame him.

The American government is in fact collecting and storing virtually every phone call, purchases, email, text message, internet searches, social media communications, health information, employment history, travel and student records, and virtually all other information of every American. The Wall Street Journal reported that the NSA spies on Americans' credit card transactions. Senators Wyden and Udall both on the Senate Intelligence Committee, with access to all of the top-secret information about the government's spying programs wrote: Section 215 of the Patriot Act can be used to collect any type of records whatsoever including information on credit card purchases, medical records, library records, firearm sales records, financial information and a range of other sensitive subjects. Many other government agencies track your credit card purchases as well. In fact, all U.S. intelligence agencies including the CIA and NSA are going to spy on Americans' finances.

The IRS will be spying on Americans' shopping records, travel, social interactions, health records and files from other government investigators. The Consumer Financial Protection Board will also spy on the finances of millions of Americans.

A program can survive even when the media, the public, and most of Congress wants it killed. It turns out that, while the language in the bill shutting down Terrorism Information Awareness (TIA) was clear, a new line had been inserted during conference by an unknown person allowing "certain processing, analysis, and collaboration tools" to continue.

Thanks to the Central Intelligence Agency and the National Security Agency, which had lobbied for the provision, TIA didn't die, it metastasized. As the AP reported in February

of 2004, the new language simply outsourced many TIA programs to other intelligence offices and buried them in the so-called “black budget.” What’s more, today, several agencies are pursuing data mining projects independent of TIA, including the Department of Homeland Security, the Justice Department, the CIA, the Transportation Security Administration, and NASA. Even with TIA ostensibly shut down; many of the private contractors who worked on the program can continue their research with few controls.

The government is flying drones over the American homeland to spy on us. Indeed, the head of the FBI told Congress that drones are used for domestic surveillance and that there are no rules in place governing spying on Americans with drones. Senator Rand Paul correctly notes: The domestic use of drones to spy on Americans clearly violates the Fourth Amendment and limits our rights to personal privacy. Empty wheel notes in a post entitled “The OTHER Assault on the Fourth Amendment in the NDAA? Drones at Your Airport?”; Many police departments are also using drones to spy on us. As the Hill reported: At least 13 state and local police agencies around the country have used drones in the field or in training, according to the Association for Unmanned Vehicle Systems International, an industry trade group. The Federal Aviation Administration has predicted that by the end of the decade, 30,000 commercial and government drones could be flying over U.S. skies.

“Drones should only be used if subject to a powerful framework that regulates their use in order to avoid abuse and invasions of privacy,” Chris Calabrese, a legislative counsel for the American Civil Liberties Union, said during a congressional forum in Texas last month. He argued police should only fly drones over private property if they have a warrant, information collected with drones should be promptly destroyed when it’s no longer needed and domestic drones should not carry any weapons. He argued that drones pose a more serious threat to privacy than helicopters because they are cheaper to use and can hover in the sky for longer periods of time. A congressional report earlier this year predicted that drones could soon be equipped with technologies to identify faces or track people based on their height, age, gender and skin color. The military is paying for the development of drones with facial recognition software which “remember” people’s faces and read “malevolent intent”.

Moreover, Wired reports: Transit authorities in cities across the country are quietly installing microphone-enabled surveillance systems on public buses that would give them the ability to record and store private conversations. The systems are being installed in San Francisco, Baltimore, and other cities with funding from the Department of Homeland

Security in some cases. The IP audio-video systems can be accessed remotely via a built-in web server, and can be combined with GPS data to track the movement of buses and passengers throughout the city. The systems use cables or WiFi to pair audio conversations with camera images in order to produce synchronous recordings. Audio and video can be monitored in real-time, but are also stored onboard in black-box-like devices, generally for 30 days, for later retrieval. Four to six cameras with mics are generally installed throughout a bus, including one near the driver and one on the exterior of the bus. Privacy and security expert Ashkan Soltani told the Daily that the audio could easily be coupled with facial recognition systems or audio recognition technology to identify passengers caught on the recordings.

RT notes: Street lights that can spy have been installed in some American cities. America welcomes a new brand of smart street lighting systems: energy-efficient, long-lasting, complete with LED screens to show ads. They can also spy on citizens in a way George Orwell would not have imagined in his worst nightmare. With a price tag of \$3,000+ apiece, according to an ABC report, the street lights are now being rolled out in Detroit, Chicago and Pittsburgh, and may soon mushroom all across the country.

Part of the Intellistreets systems made by the company Illuminating Concepts have a number of “homeland security applications” attached. Each has a microprocessor “essentially similar to an iPhone,” capable of wireless communication. Each can capture images and count people for the police through a digital camera, record conversations of passers-by and even give voice commands thanks to a built-in speaker.

Ron Harwood, president and founder of Illuminating Concepts, says he eyed the creation of such a system after the 9/11 terrorist attacks and the Hurricane Katrina disaster. He is “working with Homeland Security” to deliver his dream of making people “more informed and safer.”

The TSA has moved way past airports, trains and sports stadiums, and is deploying mobile scanners to spy on people all over the place. This means that traveling within the United States is no longer a private affair. You might also have seen the news this week that the Department of Homeland Security is going to continue to allow searches of laptops and phones based upon “hunches”. What’s that about?

The ACLU published a map in 2006 showing that nearly two-thirds of the American public 197.4 million people live within a “constitution-free zone” within 100 miles of land and coastal borders.

The ACLU explained: Normally under the Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, the American people are not generally subject to random and arbitrary stops and searches. The border, however, has always been an exception. There, the longstanding view is that the normal rules do not apply. For example the authorities do not need a warrant or probable cause to conduct a “routine search.” But what is “the border”? According to the government, it is a 100-mile wide strip that wraps around the “external boundary” of the United States. As a result of this claimed authority, individuals who are far away from the border, American citizens traveling from one place in America to another, are being stopped and harassed in ways that our Constitution does not permit.

The Border Patrol has been setting up checkpoints inland on highways in states such as California, Texas and Arizona, and at ferry terminals in Washington State. Typically, the agents ask drivers and passengers about their citizenship. Unfortunately, our courts so far have permitted these kinds of checkpoints legally speaking; they are “administrative” stops that are permitted only for the specific purpose of protecting the nation’s borders. They cannot become general drug-search or other law enforcement efforts.

However, these stops by Border Patrol agents are not remaining confined to that border security purpose. On the roads of California and elsewhere in the nation places far removed from the actual border, agents are stopping, interrogating and searching Americans on an everyday basis with absolutely no suspicion of wrongdoing. The bottom line is that the extraordinary authorities that the government possesses at the border are spilling into regular American streets.

Computer World reports: Border agents don’t need probable cause and they don’t need a stinking warrant since they don’t need to prove any reasonable suspicion first. Nor, sadly, do two out of three people have First Amendment protection; it is as if DHS has voided those Constitutional amendments and protections they provide to nearly 200 million Americans. Don’t think this applies only if you are physically trying to cross the international border. As we saw when discussing the DEA using license plate readers and data-mining to track Americans movements, the U.S. “border” stretches out 100 miles beyond the true border. Godfather Politics added: But wait, it gets even better! If you live anywhere in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey or Rhode Island, DHS says the search zones encompass the entire state. See [No Free Speech Zone](http://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket) at www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket

Immigrations and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) have a “longstanding constitutional and statutory authority permitting suspicion-less and warrant-less searches of merchandise at the border and its functional equivalent.” This applies to electronic devices, according to the recent Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL) “Border Searches of Electronic Devices” executive summary.

The overall authority to conduct border searches without suspicion or warrant is clear and longstanding, and courts have not treated searches of electronic devices any differently than searches of other objects. We conclude that CBP’s and ICE’s current border search policies comply with the Fourth Amendment. We also conclude that imposing a requirement that officers have reasonable suspicion in order to conduct a border search of an electronic device would be operationally harmful without concomitant civil rights/civil liberties benefits. However, we do think that recording more information about why searches are performed would help managers and leadership supervise the use of border search authority, and this is what we recommended; CBP has agreed and has implemented this change beginning in FY2012. The ACLU said, Wait one darn minute! Hello, what happened to the Constitution? Where is the rest of CLCR report on the “policy of combing through and sometimes confiscating travelers’ laptops, cell phones, and other electronic devices even when there is no suspicion of wrongdoing?” DHS maintains it is not violating our constitutional rights, so the ACLU said: If it’s true that our rights are safe and that DHS is doing all the things it needs to do to safeguard them, then why won’t it show us the results of its assessment? And why would it be legitimate to keep a report about the impact of a policy on the public’s rights hidden from the very public being affected?

Clearly past Administrations are guilty of waring against ~~We~~ the People and if America is to Become Great Again it will require nothing short of the President Elect and his Administration working with ~~We~~ the People to end the subversion and bring these traitorous servants to Justice.

~~We~~ the People continue with an olive branch and will not pursue indictments against any public servants that cooperate with us to end this Orwellian nightmare. But the mighty hand of Justice surely will come down upon those who resist; resolute in the utmost consequences and without mercy.

SOVEREIGN SIOUX TRIBE - The federal and state governments have no authority to violate sovereign Native American owned land and burial sites on behalf of profits for a private corporation. This is more than just a private property issue it’s a Sovereign State issue. ~~We~~

the People have had enough of the 200+ years of abuse against Sovereign Native Americans in the name of We the People. See Sovereign Sioux Tribe at www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket

RESERVATION OF SOVEREIGNTY: *“The Tribe's role as commercial partner with petitioners should not be confused with its role as sovereign. It is one thing to find that the Tribe has agreed to sell the right to use the land and take valuable minerals from it, and quite another to find that the Tribe has abandoned its sovereign powers simply because it has not expressly reserved them through a contract. To presume that a sovereign forever waives the right to exercise one of its powers unless it expressly reserves the right to exercise that power in a commercial agreement turns the concept of sovereignty on its head. - MERRION ET AL., DBA MERRION & BAYLESS, ET AL. v. JICARILLA APACHE TRIBE ET AL. 1982 SCT 394, 455 U.S. 130, 102 S. Ct. 894, 71 L. Ed. 2d 21, 50 U.S.L.W. 4169 pp. 144-148*

XVII AMENDMENT NULL AND VOID

DESTRUCTION OF THE BALANCE OF POWER: Our Constitution provided for a balance of power that was laid waste by the unratified, unconstitutional 17th Amendment, which was specifically forbidden by the Constitution itself and therefore “null and void” Furthermore the Seventeenth Amendment was never ratified and therefore it’s not even a pretend law. See evidence document 17th Amendment Not Ratified.pdf at <https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket> *“Truth is stranger than fiction, but it is because Fiction is obliged to stick to possibilities; Truth isn't.” - Mark Twain*

United States Constitution Article V: *“The Congress... shall propose amendments to this Constitution ... which, in either case, shall be valid to all intents and purposes, as part of this Constitution, when ratified ... provided that ...no state, without its consent, shall be deprived of its equal suffrage²¹ in the Senate.”*

United States Constitution Article 1 Section 3 *“THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES shall be composed of two Senators from each state, chosen by the legislature thereof, for six years; and each Senator shall have one vote.”*

Clearly the Seventeenth Amendment deprives “ALL” States equal suffrage in the Senate! Thus, it is not a moot point! Therefore, like the Principle of the Kentucky Resolution written by Thomas Jefferson, the founder of our Republic, which stated that simply by *“declaring their illegality, announcing the strict constructionist theory of the federal government, and declaring nullification to be the rightful remedy.”* That is how the 17th amendment can be nullified. There need not be an act of Congress, there need not be an

²¹ SUFFRAGE: A vote; the act of voting; the right of casting a vote.

amendment; governors and state legislators need only declare, announce and act by removing the unconstitutional senators and sending their own senators that will do the will of the state and restore the balance of power because "*An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.*" - Norton vs Shelby County 118 US 425 p. 442. "*No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.*" - 16th American Jurisprudence 2d, Section 177 late 2nd, Section 256.

"It is emphatically the province and duty of the judicial department to say what the law is. Those who apply the rule to particular cases, must of necessity expound and interpret that rule. If two laws conflict with each other, the courts must decide on the operation of each. So if a law be in opposition to the constitution; if both the law and the constitution apply to a particular case, so that the court must either decide that case con-formally to the law, disregarding the constitution; or conformably to the constitution, disregarding the law; the court must determine which of these conflicting rules governs the case. This is of the very essence of judicial duty. If, then, the courts are to regard the constitution, and the constitution is superior to any ordinary act of the legislature, the constitution, and not such ordinary act, must govern the case to which they may both apply... Certainly all those who have framed written constitutions contemplate them as forming the fundamental and paramount law of the nation, and consequently the theory of every such government must be, that an act of the legislature repugnant to the constitution is void. This theory is essentially attached to a written constitution, and is consequently to be considered by this court as one of the fundamental principles of our society. It is not therefore to be lost sight of in the further consideration of this subject. If an act of the legislature, repugnant to the constitution, is void," - Marbury -v- Madison

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them" - Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S.

By constitutionally correcting, through nullification and action, the said unconstitutional seventeenth amendment nullification would permit the states to review all passed acts since November 1913 giving both equal suffrage to the States and a great opportunity to eradicate many unconstitutional acts such as the Federal Reserve Act, enacted December 23, 1913; the patriot act; homeland security act and much more.

"Where powers are assumed which have not been delegated, a nullification of the act is the rightful remedy." - Thomas Jefferson: Draft Kentucky Resolutions, 1798. ME 17:386.

REPUBLIC VS DEMOCRACY: True democracy is the tyranny of the majority where laws change like the season, where rights become privileges given by man and eventually disproportionately applied. True democracy is mob rule. The collective conscience of the world is worldly and without the restraints and guidance of the Laws of Nature's God. A true democracy would be influenced by want and not principles. It would follow the prince and power of the air²² and self-destruct. It would prescribe to right by might and not Justice where *"often a small but artful and enterprising minority of the community makes the public administration the mirror of the ill concerted and incongruous projects of faction, rather than the organ of consistent and wholesome plans digested by common councils and modified by mutual interests. However combinations or associations of the above description may now and then answer popular ends, they are likely, in the course of time and things, to become potent engines by which cunning, ambitious, and unprincipled men will be enabled to subvert the power of the people and to usurp for themselves the reins of government."* - George Washington

Our Founders very much feared creating a government that had too many aspects of a pure democracy. They feared the destructiveness that a majority might have in trying to make everyone equal, and in the process taking away property, rights of property, and with it our basic freedoms which they considered "God given Freedoms." The fear had good basis. Our Founders were all knowledgeable people, and all knew and discussed how all prior democracies ended in disastrous failures. American history reaches way back to the texts of Judaism and Christianity, to the glory and failure of democracy in Athens, to Rome, Feudal times, and more.

A democracy cannot exist as a permanent form of government. It can only exist until the voters discover that they can vote themselves benefits from the public treasury. From that moment on, the majority always votes for the candidates promising the most benefits from the public treasury with the result that a democracy always collapses over loose fiscal policy, always followed by a dictatorship. The average age of the world's greatest civilizations has been 200 years. These nations have progressed through this sequence: *"From bondage to spiritual faith; From spiritual faith to great courage; From courage to liberty; From liberty to abundance; From abundance to selfishness; From selfishness to apathy; From apathy to dependence; From dependence back into bondage."*

²² **Ephesians 2:2** Wherein in time past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience:

At the 1787 Constitutional Convention, Edmund Randolph said, "... *that in tracing these evils to their origin every man had found it in the turbulence and follies of democracy.*"

"In a pure democracy, there is nothing to check the inducement to sacrifice the weaker party or the obnoxious individual." - James Madison, Federalist Paper No 10

"Remember, democracy never lasts long. It soon wastes, exhausts, and murders itself. There was never a democracy yet that did not commit suicide." - John Adams

Chief Justice John Marshall observed, "*Between a balanced republic and a democracy, the difference is like that between order and chaos.*" Thankfully, we do not live in a democracy. We live in a republic.

U.S. Constitution Article IV Section 4: "*The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of government...*"

America is "NOT" a democracy, the word democracy is not found in any of our founding documents. Our founding fathers did not speak kindly of democracy. Democracy is the prelude to oligarchy and upon that precipice America stands today. And to those oligarchs, hidden behind the Administration, We the People are terrorists, and we intend on routing them out.

In a Republic, government is under the rule of law; our American Republic is under the rule of Natures God and not men.

"When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation. We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed." - Declaration of Independence

Therefore, the United States and each sovereign State is a Republic, whose only purpose is to secure "Rights" as defined in the Constitution's Preamble and the Bill of Rights, and

governed by the Constitution ordained and established by We the People, under the Laws of Natures God a/k/a Common Law; where We the People choose our representatives democratically, where the States choose their representatives democratically and our Federal Government choose their officers democratically but all our representatives are govern by the law of the land thereby a Republic and not the whim of men.

MILITIA NECESSARY TO SECURE A FREE STATE:

Amendment II “A well-regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a Free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.”

We the Armed People of the United States of America did not authorize a Homeland Security (an armed government) to protect these United States. We the Armed People of the United States of America carefully and specifically ordained and established the Militia which is the unalienable right of We the Armed People of these United States of America to protect the Security of these United States to execute the laws of the union, to suppress insurrections and to repel invasions as so ORDERED below.

U.S. Constitution Article I Section 8, Clause 15: *“The Congress shall have power to provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions;”*

U.S. Constitution Article 1 Section 8 Clause 16: *“The Congress shall have power to provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the militia, and for governing such part of them as may be employed in the service of the United States, reserving to the states respectively, the appointment of the officers, and the authority of training the militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress;”*

U.S. Constitution Article II Section 2: *“The President shall be commander in chief of the Army and Navy of the United States, and of the militia of the several states, when called into the actual service of the United States.”*

Congress needs to get their head out of the proverbial hole in the sand and start obeying the Law of the Land. They need to start providing for the organizing, arming, and disciplining of the militia and shut down the unconstitutional Homeland Security that is militarizing our out of control federal agencies, this is the real threat to America.

Congress needs to start reestablishing our Armories and stock them for the defense of America, for the security of a free state. Congress can start by disarming all federal agencies and turning over all their weapons and ammunition to the armory. Congress needs to take back all the militarized weapons that have been furnished to all law enforcement, including the Sheriff and turn it over to the armory.

SWAT should be in the hands of the only Constitutional Law Enforcement Office in America that can RESPONSIBLY exercise proper discretion, the Sheriff! There is a Sheriff in every county. If the FBI or other law enforcement agency needs SWAT they, can call the Sheriff. This militarizing of America MUST STOP, it's a threat to our Liberty and clearly those who have such equipment are not responsible and mature enough to have such power, nor should they.

THE LAW OF THE LAND: We the People did not authorize our Constitution to be "interpreted" by BAR Lawyers who are unwittingly trained in the subversion of our Constitution. For a line by line recollection and understanding of the Constitution, read the Federalist and Anti-Federalist papers. We the People should not leave our Liberty in the hands of those who seek to control us. As Thomas Jefferson said, *"If a People want to be Ignorant and Free, they expect what Never was and Never will be!"*

*"On every question of construction carry ourselves back to the time when the Constitution was adopted, recollect the spirit manifested in the debates and instead of trying what meaning may be squeezed out of the text or invented against it, conform to the probable one in which it was passed."*²³

The main difference between the Common Law Courts our founding fathers provided and the Courts that the BAR has hijacked us into, is the former are Courts of Justice and the latter are Courts of law. Courts of Justice always consider law as it is written in the hearts of men, remedy to the injured party, mercy and nullification; whereas, courts of law are heartless considering only the will of the legislator.

*"It is not honorable to take a mere legal advantage, when it happens to be contrary to justice."*²⁴

²³ Thomas Jefferson to William Johnson, 1823. ME 15:449.

²⁴ Thomas Jefferson: Opinion on Debts due to Soldiers, 1790. ME 3:25.

Thomas Jefferson, the author of the Declaration of Independence and the man who discovered America's Freedom Formula said the following concerning the interpretation of our Constitution:

"The purpose of a written constitution is entirely defeated if, in interpreting it as a legal document, its provisions are manipulated and worked around so that the document means whatever the manipulators wish." Jefferson recognized this danger and spoke out constantly for careful adherence to the Constitution as written, with changes to be made by amendment, not by tortured and twisted interpretations of the text.

"Our peculiar security is in the possession of a written Constitution. Let us not make it a blank paper by construction."²⁵ "The Constitution to which we are all attached was meant to be republican [NOT DEMOCRATIC], and we believe to be republican according to every candid interpretation. Yet we have seen it so interpreted and administered, as to be truly what the French have called, a monarchie masque."^{26, 27}

"Laws are made for men of ordinary understanding and should, therefore, be construed by the ordinary rules of common sense. Their meaning is not to be sought for in metaphysical subtleties which may make anything mean everything or nothing at pleasure."²⁸ "Common sense [is] the foundation of all authorities, of the laws themselves, and of their construction."²⁹

"The Constitution on which our Union rests, shall be administered by me [as President] according to the safe and honest meaning contemplated by the plain understanding of the people of the United States at the time of its adoption a meaning to be found in the explanations of those who advocated, not those who opposed it, and who opposed it merely lest the construction should be applied which they denounced as possible."³⁰

"I do then, with sincere zeal, wish an inviolable preservation of our present federal Constitution, according to the true sense in which it was adopted by the States, that in

²⁵ Thomas Jefferson to Wilson Nicholas, 1803. ME 10:419.

²⁶ **Masque:** A dramatic entertainment, usually performed by masked players representing mythological or allegorical figures, that was popular in England in the 1500s and early 1600s.

²⁷ Thomas Jefferson to Robert R. Livingston, 1800. ME 10:177.

²⁸ Thomas Jefferson to William Johnson, 1823. ME 15:450.

²⁹ Thomas Jefferson: Batture at New Orleans, 1812. ME 18:92.

³⁰ Thomas Jefferson: Reply to Address, 1801. ME 10:248.

which it was advocated by its friends, and not that which its enemies apprehended, who therefore became its enemies."³¹

*"It is a rule, where expressions are susceptible of two meanings, to recur to other explanations. Good faith is in favor of this recurrence."*³² *"Whenever the words of a law will bear two meanings, one of which will give effect to the law, and the other will defeat it, the former must be supposed to have been intended by the Legislature, because they could not intend that meaning, which would defeat their intention, in passing that law; and in a statute, as in a will, the intention of the party is to be sought after."*³³

*"It was understood to be a rule of law that where the words of a statute admit of two constructions, the one just and the other unjust, the former is to be given them."*³⁴ *"When an instrument admits two constructions, the one safe, the other dangerous, the one precise, the other indefinite, I prefer that which is safe and precise. I had rather ask an enlargement of power from the nation, where it is found necessary, than to assume it by a construction which would make our powers boundless."*³⁵ *"Where a phrase is susceptible of two meanings, we ought certainly to adopt that which will bring upon us the fewest inconveniences."*³⁶

*"The general rule [is] that an instrument is to be so construed as to reconcile and give meaning and effect to all its parts."*³⁷ *"In every event, I would rather construe so narrowly as to oblige the nation to amend, and thus declare what powers they would agree to yield, than too broadly, and indeed, so broadly as to enable the executive and the Senate to do things which the Constitution forbids."*³⁸

"The government will certainly decide for itself on whose counsel they will settle the construction of the laws they are to execute. We are to look at the intention of the Legislature, and to carry it into execution while the lawyers are nibbling at the words of

³¹ Thomas Jefferson to Elbridge Gerry, 1799. ME 10:76.

³² Thomas Jefferson to William Short, 1791. ME 8:186.

³³ Thomas Jefferson to Albert Gallatin, 1808. ME 12:110.

³⁴ Thomas Jefferson to Isaac McPherson, 1813. ME 13:326.

³⁵ Thomas Jefferson to Wilson Nicholas, 1803. ME 10:418.

³⁶ Thomas Jefferson: Opinion on Apportionment Bill, 1792. ME 3:208.

³⁷ Thomas Jefferson, 1816. ME 14:445.

³⁸ Thomas Jefferson: The Anas, 1793. ME 1:408.

the law."³⁹ *"The [legislature's] laws have always some rational object in view; and are so to be construed as to produce order and justice."*⁴⁰

PROVIDING AN EDUCATION: Governments have-not, will not or cannot provide for a proper constitutional education for elected and appointed government servants and the People. Therefore, We the People formed www.NationalLibertyAlliance.org (NLA) to provide that education. The purpose of NLA is to facilitate an education, communication and provide an assembly place for the People.

EXECUTIVE ORDERS: The Presidents executive orders can only be directed to cabinet members, federal agencies, armed forces and militia when called into service. Congress cannot vest additional powers to the President that were not vested in him through the Constitution. The President does not have legislative powers.

The purpose of the Executive Order is to insure the President's "will" upon the agencies when necessary, the President has a sworn duty to govern under the law, by the law. The remedy to lawlessness is the Law!

"Crime is contagious. If the Government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy." - Olmstead v. United States, (1928) 277 U.S. 438

We advise you Mr. President elect to support the People by simply obeying the Law and requiring your cabinet and all subservient agencies to do the same. You can solve the aforementioned grievances with the stroke of a pen by using your proper power of "executive orders" and the bully pulpit of the Administration to lead our legislative and judicial branches to do the same and then and only then will We the People enjoy the fruits of Liberty again.

The following proposed executive order(s), with enforcement if necessary, will bring these government agencies back under the "*chains of the Constitution*" and through We the Peoples' Common Law Grand Jurys return government by consent of the People back to We the People and then America will be great again!

³⁹ Thomas Jefferson to Albert Gallatin, 1808. ME 12:168.

⁴⁰ Thomas Jefferson: Batture at New Orleans, 1812. ME 18:122.

PROPOSED EXECUTIVE ORDER

Dated

Directed To: All Departments (Secretaries) and subservient Federal Agencies.

By virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States, it is ordered as follows:

TAKE NOTICE: *"Crime is contagious. If the Government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy."* - Olmstead v. United States, (1928) 277 U.S. 438

- 1) It is the policy of this Administration that all Appointed Government Officials of the United States and all Agency heads and all government employees are expected to have read and understand the Constitution for the United States of America, Bill of Rights and the Declaration of Independence in order to honor your oath and obey the Law of the Land; Article VI Clauses 2 and 3: (Free Constitutional and civics courses are available at www.nationallibertyalliance.org/).

"This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; ..., anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding."

"... All executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, shall be bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution."

- 2) All federal servants are expected to know by what authority you act, be advised BAR attorneys are unwittingly trained to subvert the Constitution. It's up to you to know because you took the oath.

"Laws are made for men of ordinary understanding and should, therefore, be construed by the ordinary rules of common sense. Their meaning is not to be sought for in metaphysical subtleties which may make anything mean everything or nothing at pleasure." - Thomas Jefferson to William Johnson, 1823; ME 15:450 *"Common sense [is] the foundation of all authorities, of the laws themselves, and of their construction."* - Thomas Jefferson: Batture at New Orleans, 1812. ME 18:92

- 3) No Military Force is to be implemented against the People. Such an act would be high treason in violation of the Posse Comitatus Act, 18 U.S. Code, Section 1385.

Presidents cannot use executive orders to bypass the Law of the Land. Congress was vested with the power to legislate by the People, Presidents were not, nor can Congress empower the President with legislated powers. Vested rights are not transferable, only the People can ordain and establish powers and authority. Therefore, executive orders cannot create power nor exercise powers not vested by the People.

- 4) If elected, appointed or employed federal agents are exercising powers that were not empowered by the Constitution, they are acting under the color of law and subject to criminal prosecution.

“Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts And the law is the definition and limitation of power.” - Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, 370

- 5) Therefore the militarization of federal agencies is a tyrant’s way of covertly transferring military powers to federal agencies by executive order to be used against the People in violation of Posse Comitatus. It was by these words and then his actions to militarize federal agencies that President Barack Obama committed acts of treason.

“We cannot continue to rely only on our military in order to achieve the national security objectives that we've set. We've got to have a civilian national security force that's just as powerful, just as strong, just as well-funded.” - President Barack Obama

- 6) Militarized federal agencies including the DHS are not needed to execute the laws of the union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions because the Constitution already provides for those emergencies, any substitution would be subversion:

Article I, Section 8 Clause 15: “The Congress shall have power to provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions;”

Posse Comitatus Act, 18 U.S. Code, Section 1385: “Whoever, except in cases and under circumstances expressly authorized by the Constitution or Act of Congress, willfully uses any part of the Armed Force as a posse comitatus or otherwise to execute the laws shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.”

- 7) No federal agency including law enforcement is to be militarized and all federal agencies are ordered to dispose of all military equipment and ammunition by turning over said arms to the DOJ for redistribution to state armories.
- 8) All Agencies except law enforcement agencies are to disarm.
- 9) All law enforcement is to be performed by agencies under the Department of Justice.
- 10) Federal agencies do not have jurisdiction in U.S. Counties and when executing a warrant, the Sheriff is to be notified to confirm Constitutional compliance. If the Sheriff feels it is necessary, he is to oversee the warrant execution.
- 11) No law enforcement federal agency is to have SWAT teams and is ordered to dispose of all SWAT equipment and ammunition by turning over said arms to the DOJ for redistribution to County Sheriffs.
- 12) If SWAT is necessary to execute a warrant UPON KNOWN VIOLENT CRIMINALS, the Sheriff is to be notified for SWAT assistance for the arrest.
- 13) IRS Liens are a process in-rem under maritime law and therefore the law requires that all warrants and liens are to be accompanied by Federal Form 4490 "Proof of Claim" and Federal Form 56 "Proof of Fiduciary Relationship" with proof of filing at the Federal District Court where the warrant or lien is to be executed and service is to be accompanied by the County Sheriff for Constitutional compliance.
- 14) IRS cases are administrative processes on non-violent People. A court summons is sufficient to summons the person to court. It would then be the decision of the court if an arrest warrant is to be issued.
- 15) Federal agents are to notify the County Sheriff to lawfully execute all federal warrants
- 16) Government agents are not to violate the unalienable rights of the People.
- 17) Government agents are not to approach the People in full military gear.
- 18) Government agents are not to intimidate or threaten the People nor detain the People without a "LAWFUL" warrant.
- 19) Federal agents who are masked to hide their identity and to intimidate are committing an act of terror.
- 20) DHS is to cease all illegal wiretapping and spying on the American People.
- 21) BOP⁴¹ & US Marshals, Dieseling Therapy is cruel and unusual punishment and a criminal offense. See Dieseling Therapy <https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket>
- 22) BOP & US Marshals, shackling prisoners to the floor for days so they are unable to reach a toilet and thereby forced to lay in feces, are committing cruel and unusual punishment, a criminal offense.
- 23) BOP & US Marshals, putting prisoners in cold cells without blankets and pillows are also committing cruel and unusual punishment, a criminal offense.
- 24) BOP & US Marshals, prisoner beat downs are cruel and unusual punishment and a criminal offense; formal investigations are required for all complaints. It is a criminal offense of conspiracy for any cover up.

⁴¹ Federal Bureau of Prisons.

- 25) Solitary confinement for protective custody is cruel and unusual punishment and a criminal offense.
- 26) Political prisoners are to be released immediately.
- 27) BOP & U.S. Marshals, secret prisons are to shut down immediately.
- 28) IRS debtor prisoners are to be released immediately.
- 29) U.S. Marshals in their federal capacity, are first and foremost Constitutional Law Enforcers equivalent to the County Sheriff and are to protect the rights of the People above all other duties. Marshals are appointed by the president and cannot be un-appointed or fired; removal from office requires impeachment or indictment.
- 30) U.S. Marshals work for the Justice System (court) on behalf of the People and not the judge, prosecutor or president.

IN CONCLUSION: (Our answer to Nay-Saying BAR) *If, then, the courts are to regard the constitution, and the constitution is superior to any ordinary act of the legislature, the constitution, and not such ordinary act, must govern the case to which they may both apply... Certainly all those who have framed written constitutions contemplate them as forming the fundamental and paramount law of the nation, and consequently the theory of every such government must be, that an act of the legislature repugnant to the constitution is void. This theory is essentially attached to a written constitution, and is consequently to be considered by this court as one of the fundamental principles of our society.” - Marbury v. Madison*

Attached please find our Redress of Grievances, in this court of record, to our Judiciary, Legislators and our Governors along with other supporting documents which can also be found at <https://www.nationallibertyalliance.org/docket>. By this action at law, **We the People** are officially reporting subversion within all branches of government against the United States by enemies both foreign and domestic.

Regardless of what extortion the “powers that be” will stoop themselves to maintain the status quo, we reject and stand on Principle and rely on God to control the outcome. Therefore, **We the People** will accept nothing but 100% total acknowledgement of the Law of the Land and obedience to the same. The greatest protection against these subverts is to reveal the light of truth and stand together, we must keep them so busy on offence that they cannot respond with a covert defense even when none pursue them⁴².

⁴² **Lev 26:** ¹⁵And if ye shall despise my statutes, or if your soul abhor my judgments, so that ye will not do all my commandments, [but] that ye break my covenant: ¹⁶ I also will do this unto you; I will even appoint over you terror, consumption, and the burning ague, that shall consume the eyes, and cause sorrow of heart: and ye shall sow your seed in vain, for your enemies shall eat it. ¹⁷ And I will set my face against you, and ye shall be slain before your enemies: they that hate you

In closing, we end with the words of the last President that tried to save our Republic, unfortunately the People were not listening and he stood alone on that fateful day. Today we HEAR and we WILL unite behind the President Elect brave enough to lead us back to the Promised Land under the will of the King of our court, one Nation under Him.


“A revolution is coming – a revolution which will be peaceful if we are wise enough; compassionate if we care enough; successful if we are fortunate enough – but a revolution which is coming whether we will it or not. We can affect its character; we cannot alter its inevitability” - President JFK

The Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury will be JFK's Peaceful, Wise, and Compassionate affect upon the character of that Inevitable Revolution. We the People Trust that we stand here today at that Precipice, with you Mr. President Elect.

Wherefore, you need not respond in writing Mr. President Elect; We the People will expect your response in words at your inauguration and through your Actions⁴³ in your first hundred days of office, after which, if necessary, we will reply with a “Show Cause”.



DATED: December 13, 2016


Grand Jury Foreman

shall reign over you; and ye shall flee when none pursueth you.¹⁸ And if ye will not yet for all this hearken unto me, then I will punish you seven times more for your sins. ...³⁶ And upon them that are left [alive] of you I will send a faintness into their hearts in the lands of their enemies; and the sound of a shaken leaf shall chase them; and they shall flee, as fleeing from a sword; and they shall fall when none pursueth.³⁷ And they shall fall one upon another, as it were before a sword, when none pursueth: and ye shall have no power to stand before your enemies.

⁴³ **Psalms 106:3** Blessed are they that keep judgment, and he that doeth righteousness at all times.